

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR BOARD OF ALDERMEN MEETING

City Hall
Council Chambers

April 6, 2009
7:00 p.m.

The Mayor led with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Roll Call

PRESENT: Mayor Kelly, Alderwoman Clements, Alderman Marshall, Alderman Leahy, Alderwoman Krewson, Alderman Robertson, Alderman Wynn, Alderman Harper.

City Attorney Albrecht, City Clerk/Administrator Seemayer, Director of Planning and Development/Asst. City Administrator Dailey, Executive Secretary Williams.

ABSENT: Alderman Kramer.

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA OF THE REGULAR BOARD OF ALDERMEN MEETING OF APRIL 6, 2009

Motion was made by Alderwoman Clements, second by Alderwoman Krewson to approve the Agenda of the Regular Board of Aldermen meeting of April 6, 2009 as presented. All in favor none opposed.

CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL BOARD OF ALDERMEN MEETING OF MARCH 24, 2009

Motion was made by Alderman Leahy, second by Alderman Wynn to approve and adopt the Minutes of the Special Board of Aldermen meeting of March 24, 2009 as presented. All in favor none opposed.

Alderman Leahy stated that as far as the cost of the new fire station being paid for out of general revenue, he does not know if the Ways and Means Committee discussed it as is stated by Mayor Kelly on page 12 of the March 24th minutes.

Mayor Kelly responded that the Ways and Means Committee discussed it.

PROCLAMATION – Arbor Day

Mayor Kelly stated that a Proclamation is before the Board for Arbor Day, which is Friday, April 17th.

Motion was made by Alderman Marshall, second by Alderman Leahy to approve the Proclamation for Arbor Day by acclamation. There were no objections.

BIDS – In-line Rink Resurfacing

City Administrator Seemayer stated that bids were received and opened on Monday, March 23rd at 10:00 a.m. for the Memorial Park in-line rink resurfacing as follows:

McConnell & Associates	\$11,315
St. Louis Paving	\$25,300
N.B. West Contracting	\$14,982

Alderman Marshall stated that it is important that the in-line rink be resurfaced. He does not believe it has been resurfaced since it was put in. There are several spots on the rink that could cause problems for people with in-line skates. He is glad to see that it is being taken care of.

Motion was made by Alderwoman Clements, second by Alderman Robertson to accept the bid from McConnell & Associates for the in-line rink resurfacing. All in favor none opposed.

HEARING OF ANY MATTERS OF PUBLIC INTEREST UPON REQUEST OF ANY PERSON PRESENT – None

INTRODUCTIONS, READINGS, AND PASSAGE OF BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Bill No. 5485 will remain on hold.

First and Second Readings of Bills

Motion was made by Alderman Leahy, second by Alderman Robertson to give Bills No. 5489, 5490 and 5491 first and second readings. All in favor none opposed.

Bill No. 5489 – In-line Rink Resurfacing

City Attorney Albrecht gave Bill No. 5489, AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF BRENTWOOD, MISSOURI WITH MCCONNELL AND ASSOCIATES, 2646 CREVE COEUR DRIVE, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63144, FOR AN IN-LINE RINK RESURFACING; AND PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ORDINANCE, its first and second readings.

Alderwoman Krewson read a synopsis of Bill No. 5489 as a Bill that authorizes a contract with McConnell & Associates to resurface the In Line Skating Rink located in Memorial Park. The Bid amount of \$11,315.00 was the lowest of three bids submitted. This matter was reviewed and approved by the Public Works Committee.

Motion was made by Alderman Robertson, second by Alderman Marshall to approve and adopt Bill No. 5489. Roll call: Alderwoman Clements, yes; Alderman Marshall, yes; Alderman Leahy, yes; Alderwoman Krewson, yes; Alderman Robertson, yes; Alderman Wynn, yes; Alderman Harper, yes.

The Mayor thereupon declared Bill No. 5489 duly passed and signed same into approval thereof. Said Bill was given Ordinance No. 4173.

Alderman Marshall asked when the project would begin and how long will it take.

City Administrator Seemayer stated that he does not know when they will start the work. He does not think it will be down for more than three days.

Public Hearing – 7:15 p.m. Conditional Use Permit/8925 Manchester Road

Mayor Kelly announced a Public Hearing for a Conditional Use Permit for 8925 Manchester Road would now be heard at 7:15 p.m.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey came before the Board and stated that when the business owner first came to the City he requested a business license to have a wholesale plant business. The business changed over time and now he would like to have a Conditional Use Permit to allow him to have retail plant sales, outdoor display and storage of plants. The P&Z Commission considered his application and is recommending approval without any specific conditions other than that the owner maintain his own commercial trash service, which he did not have up until this point. This property is located at 8925 Manchester Road, just east of the Gifted Gardner. This used to be a Ziebart business. The owner sells his plants on a retail basis but also sells to landscape companies and businesses that have live plants in their buildings as well. He has indicated that he is not going to have a lot of vehicle traffic because a lot of his business is delivering to other businesses. Although 31 parking spaces are required for the square footage for retail use, the P&Z Commission found that the existing thirteen would be sufficient, while some outdoor storage areas are designated as well. They did not receive any complaints from anyone that came to the P&Z Commission meetings. The Planning and Zoning Commission is recommending approval of this application.

Mayor Kelly asked if there were any comments from the audience. Hearing none, Mayor Kelly closed the Public Hearing at 7:18 p.m.

Bill No. 5490 – Conditional Use Permit/8925 Manchester Road

City Attorney Albrecht gave Bill No. 5490, AN ORDINANCE GRANTING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO FRANKLIN GROUP LLC, WHICH PERMITS UNDER CERTAIN CONDITIONS THE USE OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 8925 MANCHESTER ROAD FOR RETAIL PLANT SALES INCLUDING OUTDOOR PLANT SALES AND STORAGE; PROVIDING THE CONDITIONS OF SUCH USAGE; AND PROVIDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ORDINANCE, its first and second readings.

Alderman Krewson read a synopsis of Bill No. 5490 as a Bill that grants a Conditional Use Permit to Franklin Group, LLC located at 8925 Manchester Road for the purpose of retail sales of plants including outdoor sales & storage. The Bill also approves the site plan. The CUP and site plan was reviewed and approved by the Planning & Zoning Commission.

Alderman Leahy asked if they are changing the current Conditional Use Permit. It is not that the firm that is there has been operating without a permit; they are just changing what they are doing?

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that this is the first Conditional Use Permit. When the business owner first applied for a business license, it was for a wholesale plant business to store plants in the building, which was a permitted use. It came to their attention that the owner was selling plants outside and having an outdoor display, which is a Conditional Use Permit, so this is the first time they have considered the CUP.

Motion was made by Alderwoman Clements, second by Alderman Marshall to approve and adopt Bill No. 5490. Roll call: Alderwoman Clements, yes; Alderman Marshall, yes; Alderman Leahy, yes; Alderwoman Krewson, yes; Alderman Robertson, yes; Alderman Wynn, yes; Alderman Harper, yes.

The Mayor thereupon declared Bill No. 5490 duly passed and signed same into approval thereof. Said Bill was given Ordinance No. 4174.

Public Hearing – 7:25 p.m. Chapter 25 Text Amendment

Mayor Kelly announced a Public Hearing for Chapter 25 Text Amendment would now be heard at 7:25 p.m.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey came before the Board and stated that at the end of last year she received a call from an owner of a dog daycare franchise who was interested in locating in Brentwood. This type of business would be an upscale day or overnight pet care facility. After researching the Code, she found that the City does not have this type of use in the use list, whether they are permitted or conditional, so a text amendment was put together for pet boarding facilities and it was taken to the P&Z Commission. The closest text they have in the Code is a vet clinic or a Petsmart type business. About two years ago, Petsmart was approved for a pet care facility but that was accessory to their existing use and for inside use only. This type of business would include possibly an outdoor play area for dogs. She read the definition that the P&Z Commission and staff came up with for a pet boarding facility, which is “a business providing day care or overnight boarding facilities for domesticated dogs and cats. If the pet boarding facility has an outdoor area intended for use by pets the property in which the pet boarding facility is located shall be at least 200 feet from any single family or multi-family residential business”. The P&Z Commission recommended approval of this business as a conditional use in the general commercial, planned development and light industrial districts.

Alderman Leahy stated that they are going to go into an area and reputable businesses will go out of their way to make sure that things are taken care of. Residential wise, noise generation from a facility, specifically if they are allowing outdoor dog runs, etc. for the exercise of the animals, trash hauling and disposal of animal waste, he is not sure that the Code addresses any of that to the point that they are allowing this type of usage. As far as somebody applying, how do they address that part of the Code because he does not see anything in the Code that says that those things have to have special care.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that the City has a noise ordinance and an environmental code that would provide some general requirements for these types of uses. It would have to be looked at on a case-by-case basis. For this particular use, given some of the areas that this franchise owner was looking at that were close to a flood plain, she had talked to MSD and asked them what sort of concerns they should have as a city with the pet waste. MSD alleviated some of her concerns based on the business plan that the franchise owner presented to her as far as what their specific criteria are for maintaining a clean facility.

Alderman Leahy asked if they should literally look at putting these types of restrictions in the Code prior to allowing the activity versus trying to come in after the fact and do it. He is afraid that some of their education would be, after they have allowed a facility to go in, that they have missed looking at some of these issues and you could not go back and force the licensed facility to change according to the Code.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that the fact that it is a conditional use provides a greater level of oversight by the P&Z Commission and the Board of Aldermen. If it were the Board's wish that this go back to the P&Z Commission for further detail to be added she is sure that would be fine. She thinks that if it is handled on a case-by-case basis, depending on the location, they could deal with all the issues at play at that time.

Mayor Kelly asked if there were any comments from the audience. Hearing none, Mayor Kelly announced the Public Hearing closed at 7:30 p.m.

Alderman Marshall asked if they approve the text change and a company moves in a facility, starts doing business and they are educated of the fact that problems have arisen, can they change the Code at anytime and have the business adhere to it.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that a Conditional Use Permit is almost as significant as a text amendment ordinance is to a Zoning Code. Her recommendation would be that whenever this type of business is considered and they will know the location, nature of the business, business plan etc., they could review it at that time and use their resources through MSD, the city engineer and staff to ensure that they are meeting the standards, which are stringent for a Conditional Use Permit.

Mayor Kelly stated that under the Conditional Use Permit guidelines you could add additional requirements based on specific uses, tenants, hours of operation, etc.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that you could restrict noise levels and make it the responsibility of the applicant to provide that information based on their own study. To try to legislate without knowing the specifics of the business plan and the location would be cumbersome. They try to provide a general overview of environmental standards, etc.

Alderman Marshall stated that they could move forward with the text amendment and if changes are needed, they can be made.

Alderman Wynn stated that when you're dealing with a facility like this and a business that is even 200 feet away, since it's animals and they do make noises, etc., they ought to be sure they are doing everything they can to make it the best they can for the residents. If the resident was living there first and a business moves in that brings extra noise or problems they should be quick to rectify it for the resident.

Alderwoman Krewson asked if the franchise owner has a location in mind.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that she does not think the franchise owner has selected a location. Ms. Dailey stated that she knew that the franchise owner was looking at a couple of different areas and based on some of the concerns in those areas is how they drafted the text amendment.

Alderman Leahy stated that he is not opposed to this type of business. He is worried about a two-fold catch 22. The City would authorize a business license to allow them to operate. They learn after the fact that they have residential business district interference and they try to address the problem. In dealing with residential concerns, they could establish a new requirement on a business that after putting in all that time and effort to get the business going they could do a deal breaker that puts the business owner somewhat at a disadvantage. That is why he is looking at trying to get a better handle on these requirements into the Code before they start looking at giving out licenses to go into this type of business. He does not want the resident upset and he does not want to be financially burdensome to the business owner after they have gone into the facility to have the City come back and say, by the way, the City needs to change two requirements that could really hurt you.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that before a business owner would sign a lease or purchase a building it is their responsibility to make sure that it is contingent upon getting City approvals.

Mayor Kelly stated that is the whole purpose of a Conditional Use Permit because it is not just a cookie cutter situation. It might not make any difference if this operation has dogs outside and they are right in the middle of Hanley Industrial Court but if their property is adjoining residential properties, then it may be a different issue but then you could put tighter restrictions on them.

Alderman Leahy stated that currently the Code has, for the residential area, a barking dog nuisance ordinance. Would that apply to this type of facility or would they look at the Code that addresses noise levels under the decibel readings.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that it would probably be whichever is more stringent. Noise issues are difficult to regulate that is why they put in the definition at least 200 feet from a multi-family or single family residential building. The Conditional Use Permit could require that it be a further distance away, an outdoor play area. You could make it more restrictive than the Code definition. Providing that distance is an easier way to regulate noise than saying it has to be a certain decibel because it is very subjective.

Alderman Marshall stated that the City has nuisance codes too. If a dog is barking excessively a ticket could be issued. Any business owner will take steps to keep things from happening that will cause restrictions on their business. It would be to their benefit to do everything possible to limit the amount of barking, etc.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey stated that the conditional use section of the zoning ordinance allows Conditional Use Permits to be revoked based on a complaint that is received. She understands Alderman Leahy's concern about wanting to address potential issues but her opinion is that it would be difficult, without knowing where the business is going to be and what the business plan is to cover the entire basis at this point.

Alderwoman Clements stated that they have received many recommendations for Conditional Use Permits coming from the P&Z Commission and they have put restrictions on those requests and applications. P&Z is very good about studying all these things and making sure that everything is followed to the letter. The Board has also added to the conditions when the applicant has come before them. That gives them what they need to police businesses.

Bill No. 5491 – Chapter 25 Text Amendment

City Attorney Albrecht gave Bill No. 5491, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 25 OF THE REVISED CODE OF THE CITY OF BRENTWOOD, MISSOURI BY AMENDING SECTIONS 25-2, 25-258, 25-259, 25-260 and 25-289 TO ALLOW PET CARE FACILITIES IN THE “GC” GENERAL COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, “PD” PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT AND “LID” LIGHT INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT; TO PROVIDE A DEFINITION OF “PET CARE FACILITY”; TO PROVIDE PARKING REQUIREMENTS FOR SAID USE; PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR THE CURRENT MAINTENANCE OF THIS CODE; AND PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF ALL CONFLICTING ORDINANCES, its first and second readings.

Alderwoman Krewson read a synopsis of Bill No. 5491 as a Bill that amends the City's zoning code by amending several sections to allow pet care facilities' in the General Commercial, Planned Development and the Light Industrial Zoning Districts. This amendment was brought about by an inquiry from a pet care facility wishing to locate in Brentwood. The Planning & Zoning Commission reviewed and approved this zoning amendment.

Motion was made by Alderwoman Clements, second by Alderman Marshall to approve and adopt Bill No. 5491. Roll call: Alderwoman Clements, yes; Alderman Marshall, yes; Alderman Leahy, no; Alderwoman Krewson, yes; Alderman Robertson, yes; Alderman Wynn, no; Alderman Harper, yes.

The Mayor thereupon declared Bill No. 5491 duly passed and signed same into approval thereof. Said Bill was given Ordinance No. 4175.

Resolution No. 953 – City Vehicle Policy

Mayor Kelly requested that Resolution No. 953 remain on hold.

Resolution No. 955 – Preliminary Funding Agreement

Mayor Kelly stated that a presentation would be made shortly by Director of Planning and Development Dailey who has been working diligently in evaluating the two proposals for the RFP. The City received, in December, the RFPs for the corner of Eager Road and Brentwood Boulevard area and has selected Drury Hotels.

Director of Planning and Development Dailey came before the Board and went over the details of the process. She stated that the redevelopment area that was included in the RFP is about a 10-acre site, with nine property owners, including MoDOT, and stretches from Eager Road on the north to the Villas at Brentwood on the south, Black Creek on the east and Brentwood Boulevard on the west. Late last summer the City received a letter from a property owner requesting an RFP process. The owner was Pace Properties in partnership with the White Company. They went ahead and started putting the RFP together which was issued in October of 2008. They sent the RFP to all the property owners, notifying them of the process. She met with several of them and submitted the RFP to a list of local developers. The City received two responses, one from Pace Properties and one from Drury Development Corporation. Following receipt of the proposals from the two developers the City Administrator Seemayer, Mayor Kelly, herself and special counsel met with each developer group and asked them a series of questions to clarify information from their proposals and to ensure that they meet the City's criteria outlined in the redevelopment resolution. Several weeks later, each developer made a presentation to the Mayor and the Board. Based on the information that they received during the review period and the proposal, they are recommending Drury Development Corporation as the preferred developer which somewhat changes the redevelopment area. It will now include a little over four acres and the southern boundary will be Agnes. There will still be a road connecting Eager Road to Rose Avenue to bring traffic to the signal at Rose Avenue. The selection criteria was based on the conception and site plan and proposed traffic improvements that were proposed by each developer. Drury is proposing a 238-room hotel with a restaurant and an 8,000 square foot freestanding restaurant. They propose to cover Deer Creek with a road that will connect Eager Road to Rose Avenue. Their parking will primarily be surface parking. Pace's proposal included a 100,000 square foot four story office building, 220-room hotel with restaurant, 8,000 square foot freestanding restaurant and a parking garage. Another criterion they reviewed was the amount of public assistance. The total project amount for Pace Properties was \$71 million and the total project amount for Drury was \$35 million. The public assistance requested from Pace was \$11.5 million and from Drury was \$5.3 million. One thing they feel very comfortable with the Drury project is the hotel would be developer owned and operated. Drury assured them that they would be able to move forward with the project regardless of the markets since they would be the tenant. They also like the fact that they had significant experience dealing with MoDOT since approximately 3.5 acres of their project will include the acquisition of MoDOT's property.

Alderman Leahy stated that this area is in the TDD district and if it is redeveloped, what does that do financially to the TDD money that would be generated or not generated?

Ms. Dailey responded that the area is not included in the current TDD or the one that was recently approved.

Alderman Robertson asked about the relocation of the office-building tenants.

Ms. Dailey stated that the Drury proposal includes relocation of any existing tenants on the four-acre site.

Mayor Kelly stated that even with the \$11 plus million that Pace was requesting in assistance, even through their own evaluation, they were still about \$5 to \$6 million short on what they could actually get through their sales tax generated through a TIF or a CID. They have a gap that they are not sure of how they will cover at this point. There may be some opportunity for the City to work with Pace in seeing if they could work with some of their existing properties to still build the office building they were proposing in that area. Given the history and the wherewithal of the Drury Corporation and family, the City feels it is the best proposal for the city of Brentwood. In accepting Drury and passing Resolution No. 955, the preliminary funding agreement allows the City to be reimbursed for the cost of moving forward and evaluating the project with the legal fees for putting the redevelopment agreement together, etc.

Alderman Leahy stated that Resolution No. 955 authorizes \$50,000 to be put away in the fund but the last page of the work program establishes \$75,000.

Mayor Kelly responded that is the initial deposit.

Alderman Leahy stated so there are mechanisms in place to increase it if the cost went that high.

Mayor Kelly responded yes.

Alderman Robertson stated that this is entirely contingent upon them being able to purchase the property, particularly the MoDOT property. They can sell it to anybody they want whether the City has selected a developer or not.

Mayor Kelly stated that is true but it is one of the reasons they wanted to be proactive and have a preferred developer in place. He thinks that will give them the upper hand in those negotiations.

Alderman Marshall asked if the money that would be reimbursed to the City for legal fees would have to be returned if the project does not move forward.

Mayor Kelly responded no. This shows their willingness and desire to move forward but it is the City's obligation to try to recoup its cost in the process.

Motion was made by Alderman Marshall, second by Alderwoman Krewson to approve Resolution No. 955. Roll call: Alderwoman Clements, yes; Alderman Marshall, yes; Alderman Leahy, yes; Alderwoman Krewson, yes; Alderman Robertson, yes; Alderman Wynn, yes; Alderman Harper, yes.

Chuck Drury came before the Board and thanked everyone on behalf of the Drury Corporation for giving them the opportunity to work with the City of Brentwood. They will work hard to do a good job for everyone.

ACCOUNTS AGAINST THE CITY

Motion was made by Alderman Marshall, second by Alderwoman Clements to approve the warrant list dated 4/6/09. All in favor none opposed.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES AND DEPARTMENT HEADS:

Mayor Kelly encouraged everyone to vote tomorrow.

Mayor Kelly stated that he has had conversations with Phil Russo, owner of Lawncare Equipment. When Mr. Russo received his Conditional Use Permit to build the new addition to his building, one of the things that the P&Z Commission asked him and he agreed was that he would have no outside storage of lawn equipment during business hours. He used to put lawn movers out in front as an advertising type thing. He feels that not having the lawn equipment outside has hurt his business from an advertising standpoint and now he has to store them on the side of the building, which takes up a few of his existing parking spaces. Mayor Kelly stated that when he had spoken to Mr. Russo he told him that he would request that the Board waive the part of the Conditional Use Permit for outside storage of lawn equipment just until he could go back to the P&Z Commission for review.

The issue is that the City allows outside storage for Ace Hardware and Home Depot, etc. and he does not see that Lawncare Equipment is any different from those types of businesses. He thinks that Brentwood as a community should do everything they can at this stage in the economy to try to help the businesses.

Alderman Leahy asked if the waiver would allow him to put the lawnmowers out during the business hours of the day and only to go back into that corner area which does not adversely affect his parking.

Mayor Kelly responded yes.

Motion was made by Alderman Marshall, second by Alderman Leahy to waive the conditions of the Conditional Use Permit that placed a restriction on outside lawn equipment until the Planning and Zoning Commission has a chance to review it. All in favor none opposed.

Mayor Kelly announced that a meeting would be held on Wednesday, April 8th at 6:30 p.m. at the Rec Center for anyone that would like to see the proposed plans for the new fire station to be located on the site of the annex building on Eulalie.

Mayor Kelly stated that Micro Center's deal is not completely finished but all the terms have been put together. They were in town last week, met with their architects, and were revising the exterior of the building. They were going to take the changes back to their president for review

and approval. The City is hoping to hear from them this week with notification that the agreement has been signed. In talking to them last week their goal is to be opened by the end of the year. It would be a great addition to the city of Brentwood.

Alderman Robertson asked if Micro Center would still be replacing the Promenade sign.

Mayor Kelly stated that he thinks that is one of the plans that they are modifying but he has not heard whether they are taking the sign out or not.

Alderman Robertson asked for an update on the Hanley Road intersection at Highway 64/40. The project looks like it is moving very quickly.

Mayor Kelly stated that you could see where the tunnel will be located. They have the center part of the Hanley Bridge done as well. Every indication he has is that the Highway 64/40 timetable is moving forward just as planned, so they are not having any major delays.

Alderman Wynn stated that he was in another community and a fellow walked up to him and began to tell him what they do about trees. Alderman Wynn stated that he was able to talk about Brentwood being a Tree City, etc., and the man was impressed. He stated that sometimes they do not realize how blessed they are in Brentwood.

Public Safety Committee – No report

Public Works Committee – No report

Director of Planning and Development – No further report

Ways and Means Committee – No report

City Attorney – No report

City Clerk/Administrator

Special Use Permit/Brentwood Optimist Club

City Administrator Seemayer stated that the City received an application for a Special Use Permit from the Brentwood Evening Optimist to hold a Bar B Q on April 16th and 17th at the Optimist Park.

Motion was made by Alderman Robertson, second by Alderman Leahy to approve the Special Use Permit for Brentwood Evening Optimist. All in favor none opposed.

Excise Commissioner – No report

Library – No report

Municipal League – No report

Communication – No report

Historical Society – No report

Recreation Center Committee – No report

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Alderman Leahy announced that a Ward 3 meeting would be held on April 28th at 7:00 p.m. to informally discuss things that are going on in the city.

NEW BUSINESS

Alderman Marshall stated that he was approached by some residents on Bridgeport on the east side of Brentwood Boulevard about the sidewalks being in disrepair. He has walked the sidewalks with Street Superintendent Nahmensen and will get back to the residents. The sidewalk repairs can be done but the trees that are causing the problem with the sidewalks will end up dying. The City will replace the trees.

Alderman Clements stated that she would like to congratulate the Mayor, Alderman Marshall, Alderman Robertson and anybody else whose children's names showed up as straight "A" students.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Alderman Leahy, second by Alderman Robertson to adjourn the meeting at 7:50 p.m. All in favor none opposed.

Pat Kelly, Mayor

Attest:

Chris Seemayer, City Clerk